

Sh Kashmir Chand, S/o Sh Mukhtiar Ram, R/o VPO Bheru, Tehsil & distt Patiala.

... Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o CMO, O/o Civil Surgeon, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority, O/o CMO, O/o Civil Surgeon, Patiala.

...Respondent

# Appeal Case No. 3707 of 2021

# PRESENT: Sh.Jasbir Singh for the Appellant Dr.S.J.Singh for the Respondent

#### ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 19.05.2021 has sought information on 06 points regarding Sandhu Medical Store Devigarh from year 2016 to 18.05.2021 – copy fo drug license – number of renewal of drug license – number of inspections conducted – list of medicines inspected as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SMO-Civil Surgeon Patiala. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the first appellate authority on 21.06.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

Versus

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Patiala. The respondent has brought the information and provided to the representative of the appellant.

The appellant has received the information.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh Dated: 15.02.2022 Sd/-(Khushwant Singh) State Information Commissioner



Sh Rajesh Verma, S/o Sh Chanderbhan Verma, R/o Street No-2, Hargobind Colony, Bahadurgarh, Distt Patiala.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Government Rajindra Hospital, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Government Rajindra Hospital, Patiala.

...Respondent

# Appeal Case No. 3505 of 2021

### PRESENT: None for the Appellant Dr.Rajinder Singh for the Respondent

#### ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 23.04.2021 has sought information on 03 points regarding route permission issued in emergency ward from 25.07.2013 to 18.09.2013 for the patients died in emergency ward – complete files of dead cases alongwith eath certificates as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Govt Rajindra Hospital Patiala. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the first appellate authority on 04.06.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Patiala. As per respondent, there is no such record being maintained since only a slip is issued to the attendants of the dead persons to allow lifting dead body on the way to their destination. The respondent further informed that since the record files of the deaths and their death certificates being  $3^{rd}$  party information, it cannot be provided under section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act and the reply has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 30.04.2021 and again on 11.06.2021.

The appellant is absent nor is represented. There is nothing on record which establishes that there is a larger public interest in disclosure of this information.

RTI application has been sufficiently replied. No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Sd/-(Khushwant Singh) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh Dated: 15.02.2022



Sh Shamsher Singh, S/o Late Sh Jogi Ram, R/o village Roni Chugia (Chotti Roni), Nabha Road, Patiala.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o District Welfare Officer, Dr. Ambedkar Bhawan, Patiala.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Director, Social Justice and Minority Deptt, SCO-6-7, Phase-1, Mohali.

...Respondent

# Appeal Case No. 3479 of 2021

### PRESENT: Sh.Divya Partap son of Sh.Shamsher Singh for the Appellant Sh.Harpreet Singh,Tehsil Welfare Officer for the Respondent

#### ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 27.11.2020 has sought information on 05 points regarding rule/regulation on conversion from Hindu religion(SC) to Masih(Christian) and to avail the benefit of SC category after conversion etc as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of District Welfare Officer, Patiala. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the first appellate authority on 21.05.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Patiala. The respondent present informed that the information relating to point-5 was provided to the appellant vide letter dated 12.02.2021 and since the information regarding point 1 to 4 was not available in their office and was to be collected from their head quarter, the information after collecting from the Head quarter has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 03.01.2022. The PIO has also sent a copy of the information to the Commission through email which has been taken on record.

The appellant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh Dated: 15.02.2022 Sd/-(Khushwant Singh) State Information Commissioner



Sh Akash Verma, # 80, New Officers Colony, Stadium Road, Patiala.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Deptt of Social Security and Development of , Women & Children, Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Deptt of Social Security and Development of , Women & Children, Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3407 of 2021

# PRESENT: None for the Appellant Ms.Shelly Mittal, Programme Manager and Smt.Indu Bala-APIO for the Respondent

### **ORDER:**

The appellant through an RTI application dated 24.04.2021 has sought information on 21 points regarding Child Care Institutions in State of Punjab(Govt & Private CCIs approved by Punjab Govt) – date of which HIV Positive medical report of one child was sent to Punjab AID Control society for first time & on subsequent testing –total number of unapproved child care homes which were closed in 2019-2020 - - date of on which HIV testing commenced/completed at CCIs of Punjab etc. as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Department of Social Security and Development of Women & Children, Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed first appeal before the first appellate authority on 09.06.2021 which took no decision on the appeal. After filing first appeal, the PIO sent reply to the appellant on 10.06.2021 to which the appellant was not satisfied and filed 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal in the Commission.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Patiala. The respondent present pleaded that the information was supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 10.06.2021 to which the appellant was not satisfied and filed objections. The reply to the objections filed by the appellant has again been sent to appellant vide letter dated 14.02.2022 with a copy to the Commission.

The respondent further informed that since the appellant has asked for some personal information of HIV positive patients (point-1, 8, 9 & 10) which is prohibited under section 74 of Juvenile Justice(Care & Protection of Children) Act, 2015, it cannot be provided. Section 74 is reproduced below:

"No report in any Newspaper, Magazine, News sheet or audio-visual media or other form of communication regarding any enquiry or investigation or judicial procedure, shall disclose the name, address or school or any other particulars, which may lead to the identification of a child in conflict with law or a child in need of care and protection or a child victim or witness of a crime involved in such matter, under any other law for the time being in force, nor shall the picture of any such child be published." The appellant is absent nor is represented.

Having gone through the RTI application and the reply of the PIO, the Commission observes that the appellant has asked for information on point 1, 8, 9 & 10 about HIV positive children which as per section 74 of Juvenile Justice(Care & Protection of Children) Act, 2015 is prohibited as well as exempted under section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act., hence I find that the RTI has been sufficiently replied and no further interference of the Commission is required.

The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh Dated: 15.02.2022 Sd/-(Khushwant Singh) State Information Commissioner